	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/019,087	FISCHER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phuong Huynh	1644
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Phuong Huynh</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Shawna Cannon Lemon</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 November 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEF It is suggested that applicants meet with applicants' representative applicants' claimed invention.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
19 d H		·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)